







## **IEEE Std 2800™-2022**

Standard for Interconnection and Interoperability of Inverter-Based Resources (IBRs) Interconnecting with Associated Transmission Electric Power Systems

## EPRI-NAGF-NATF-NERC Joint Webinar

May 03, 2022



#### Published on Earth Day, April 22, 2022

Available from IEEE at <a href="https://standards.ieee.org/project/2800.html">https://standards.ieee.org/project/2800.html</a> and via IEEExplore: <a href="https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9762253/">https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9762253/</a>








## WebEx Tips & Tricks









We are recording this webcast

Your phone is muted To un-mute, press \*6 on your phone or push the un-mute icon in WebEx

Please ask any questions at any time

We will post the presentation materials on EPRI.com

Your participation provides consent to the recording



## **Acknowledgements and Disclaimers**

- The views presented in this presentation are the personal views of the individuals presenting it and shall not be considered the official position of the IEEE Standards Association or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE, in accordance with IEEE Standards Association Standards Board Bylaws 5.2.1.6.
- The content on IEEE-2800-2022 presented here is adapted and reprinted with permission from IEEE. Copyright IEEE 2022. All rights reserved. Some content presented here is based on an unapproved proposed IEEE Standard (e.g., IEEE P2800.2). As such, the document are subject to change, any draft requirements and figures shown in this presentation may change.
- All comments provided reflect only the view of the EPRI technical experts performing the review and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of those supporting and working with EPRI to conduct collaborative research and development.
- EPRI conducts research and development relating to the generation, delivery, and use of electricity for the benefit of the public.
   EPRI does not provide recommendations or regulatory advice related to the contents of this presentation.
- Part of this work was supported in part by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office and Wind Energy Technologies Office.
- Part of this work is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Solar Energy Technologies Office under Award Number DE-EE0009019 Adaptive Protection and Validated MODels to Enable Deployment of High Penetrations of Solar PV (PV-MOD).
- The views expressed in the presentation do not necessarily represent the views of the **DOE** or the **U.S. Government**.



#### **Joint Webinars**

#### Yesterday:

Joint <a href="IEEE-ESIG-PSERC-CURENT">IEEE-ESIG-PSERC-CURENT</a> Webinar for Subject Matter Experts & Academia

#### Today:

Joint NERC-NATF-NAGF-EPRI Webinar for Transmission Planners

May 3, 2022 @ 12:00pm-1:30pm ET | 9:00am-10:30pm PT | 6:00pm-7:30pm CET); no registration required, join the webinar <a href="here">here</a>


#### TBD:

Joint <u>SEIA</u>—<u>ACP</u> (formerly AWEA) Webinar for OEMs & Developers




#### **Resources and Media**





https://beyondstandards.ieee.org/addressing-grid-reliability-as-renewable-energy-integration-speeds-up/



"Grid Codes for Renewable Powered Systems" report by the International Renewable Energy Agency, published April 2022; pages 87-88:

"[IEEE 2800] will be [a] regional grid cod[e] for North America, with the main area of applicability being the United States, but [is] designed to go beyond this scope. [It] can clearly be recommended as [an] optio[n] for internationally standardised technical requirements for generators."

https://www.irena.org/publications/2022/Apr/Grid-codes-for-renewable-powered-systems



https://www.esig.energy/ieee-p2800-enhancing-the-dynamic-performance-of-high-ibr-grids/



### Outline – Joint EPRI-NAGF-NATF-NERC Webinar – May 3, 2022

- Welcome by host organizations 5 min.
  - Ryan Quint, NERC
  - Andrew Balascak, NATF

- Allen D. Schriver, NAGF
- Anish Gaikwad, EPRI
- Comments by utilities 5 min.
  - Stephen Solis, ERCOT
  - Brad Marszalkowski, ISO-NE
- Presentation by Manish Patel (WG Vice-Chair) 50 min.
  - IEEE P2800: purpose, scope, schedule
  - High-level review of selected draft requirements
  - Potential adoption of IEEE 2800 in North America
- Q&A 15 min.



### Outline – Joint EPRI-NAGF-NATF-NERC Webinar – May 3, 2022

- Welcome by host organizations 5 min.
  - Ryan Quint, NERC
  - Andrew Balascak, NATF
     Anish Gaikwad, EPRI
- Allen D. Schriver, NAGF

- Comments by utilities 5 min.
  - Stephen Solis, ERCOT
  - Brad Marszalkowski, ISO-NE
- Presentation by Manish Patel (WG Vice-Chair) 50 min.
  - IEEE P2800: purpose, scope, schedule
  - High-level review of selected draft requirements
  - Potential adoption of IEEE 2800 in North America
- Q&A 15 min.



## Adoption by ERCOT Inverter-Based Resources Task Force (IBRTF) Objective, Approach, and Timeline

#### **Objective**

Inform strategic ERCOT decision on IEEE 2800 adoption method:

- General reference ('wholesale adoption')
- Detailed reference ('piecemeal adoption per reference')
- Full specification ('piecemeal adoption own language')

#### **Timeline by Priority**

- Wholesale or High: June Dec 2022
- Medium: Oct 2022 Dec 2023
- Low: 2024

#### **Approach**

- 1) EPRI gap analysis
  - a. High-level gap analysis: identify where ERCOT has no requirements but IEEE 2800 does
  - b. Detailed gap analysis: identify where ERCOT and IEEE 2800 both specify requirements and
    - Where IEEE 2800 are more specific or more stringent than ERCOT requirements ("<")
    - ii. Where ERCOT requirements and P2800 already align in stringency and level of specificity ("~") Where ERCOT requirements exceed IEEE 2800 either in stringency or specificity (">")
- Stakeholder discussion in ERCOT's Inverter-Based Resources Task Force (IBRTF)





## Adoption by ERCOT Inverter-Based Resources Task Force (IBRTF) Comparison Basis and Remarks

#### **ERCOT**

- ERCOT Nodal Protocols (NPs) applicable Sections available at <a href="https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/nprotocols/current">https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/nprotocols/current</a> and published on or prior to February 11, 2022.
  - -The [Nodal] Protocols outline the <u>procedures and processes used by ERCOT and Market Participants</u> for the orderly functioning of the ERCOT system and nodal market.
- Nodal Operating Guides (NOGs) applicable Sections available at <a href="https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/guides/noperating/current">https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/guides/noperating/current</a> and published on or prior to March 1, 2022
  - -The <u>Nodal Operating Guides</u>, which <u>supplement the Protocols</u>, describe the working relationship between ERCOT and the entities within the ERCOT Region that interact with ERCOT on a minute-to-minute basis to ensure the reliability and security of the ERCOT System.
- Planning Guide (PG) applicable Sections available at <a href="https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/guides/planning/current">https://www.ercot.com/mktrules/guides/planning/current</a> and published on or prior to `January 1, 2022
  - -The <u>Planning Guide</u>, which <u>supplements the ERCOT protocols</u>, provides ERCOT stakeholders and market participants with information and documentation concerning the ERCOT transmission planning process.
- Model Quality Guide (MQG) applicable Sections available at https://www.ercot.com/services/rg/integration and published on or prior to April 20, 2021
  - -Assists REs/IEs submit stability models per Planning Guide Section 6.2, including the new Model Quality Testing requirements. Also includes the UDM Model Guideline and PSCAD Model Guideline.

#### IEEE 2800-2022

IEEE 2800-2022 (April 2022)

#### Remarks on ERCOT documents:

- Both NPs and NOGs are <u>mandatory</u>.
- NPs are broad in scope and tend to high level.
- NOGs tend to be narrower in scope and provide guidance on more practical/ operational aspects.
- The language in NPs and NOGs should not be in conflict; if it is in conflict, it should be pointed out as a finding.
- Some requirements only apply to resources providing ancillary services (AS); this would be explicitly stated, or it is obvious from the Section of the NPs.
  - For example, where an entire section is on Responsive Reserve (RRS) qualification or performance.



Thirteen (13) high-level gaps in ERCOT relate to 2800 mandatory requirements



## Adoption by ERCOT Inverter-Based Resources Task Force (IBRTF) Preliminary High-Level Gap Assessment of ERCOT Nodal Protocols

Legend: X Prohibited, V Allowed by Mutual Agreement, ‡ Capability Required, NR Not Required
(‡) Procedural Step Required as specified, Δ Test and Verification Defined, !!! Important Gap

(1) Troccular step hequired as specified, a rest and verification between, import				
Function Set	Advanced Functions Capability	ERCOT Nodal Protocols	IEEE 2800-2022	
	Definitions	?	?	
General	Reference Point of Applicability	POI	РОМ	
General	Adjustability in Ranges of Available Settings	NR (!!!)	‡	
	Prioritization of Functions	‡	‡	
	Ramp Rate Contro			
	Communication Interface	<b>‡</b>	‡	
Monitoring, Control, and Scheduling	Disable Permit Service (Remote Shut-Off, Remote Disconnect/Reconnect)	<b>+</b>	‡	
	Limit Active Power	+	‡	
	Monitor Key Data	<b>‡</b>	‡	
	Remote Configurability		٧	
	Set Active Power	<b>‡</b>	٧	
	Scheduling Power Values	<b>‡</b>	٧	
Reactive Power & (Dynamic) Voltage Support	Constant Power Factor	<b>‡</b>	‡	
	Voltage-Reactive Power (Volt-Var	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	
	Autonomously Adjustable Voltage Reference	?		
	Capability at zero active power ("VArs at night"	NR (!!!)	‡	
	Active Power-Reactive Power (Watt -Var			
	Constant Reactive Power	NR (!!!)	‡	
	Voltage-Active Power (Volt-Watt)	NR	NR	
	Dynamic Voltage Support / Balanced	‡	‡	
	Current Injection during VRT Unbalanced	NR (!!!)	‡	

Acknowledgements for contributions and peer-review: Julia Matevosyan (ESIG)

Acknowledgements for contributions and peer-review. Julia Matevosyan (ESIO)				
Function Set	Advanced Function	ERCOT Nodal Protoc.	IEEE 2800-2022	
	Freque	ency Ride-Through (FRT)	‡	‡
Bulk System	Rate-of-Change-of-Frequency	NR (!!!)	‡	
	Volt	‡	<b>‡</b>	
	Transient Ov	ervoltage Ride-Through	√ (!!!)	‡
	Consecutive Vo	oltage Dip Ride-Through	NR (!!!)	‡
Reliability &	Restore Output Afte	er Voltage Ride -Through	NR (!!!)	<b>‡</b>
∝ Frequency	Voltage Phase Ar	ngle Jump Ride-Through	NR (!!!)	‡
Support	Frequency [	Proop / Frequency-Watt	‡	<b>‡</b>
заррогс	Fast Frequency Response /	Underfrequency FFR	√ (!!!)	<b>‡</b>
	Inertial Response	Overfrequency FFR	NR	٧
	Return to	?	<b>‡</b>	
		NR	٧	
	Abnormal Frequency Trip		NR	٧
Protection Functions and Coordination	Rate of Change of Freque	?	٧	
	Abnormal Voltage Trip		NR	٧
	AC Overcurrent Protection		?	٧
	Unintentional Islan	NR	٧	
	Interconne	?	٧	
Power Quality	Limitation			
	Limitation	NR (!!!)	‡	
	Limitatio	NR (!!!)	‡	
	Limitatio	NR	٧	
	Limitation of (Transient) Overvoltage		NR (!!!)	‡

Source: EPRI, 2022



Thirteen (13) high-level gaps in ERCOT relate to 2800 mandatory requirements



### Adoption by ISO-NE: Approach and Timeline

- Established a team, brainstorming adoption approaches at this time
- Tentative plan is to develop performance specification using the IEEE Std 2800
- Tentatively seek to implement performance requirements clause by clause, rather than whole adoption for now.
- In future, adoption of IEEE Std 2800 by general reference, i.e., whole adoption, is possible
- Development of verification piece to follow in conjunction or after publication of IEEE P2800.2
- Tentative timeline Update performance specs by end of 2022
  - Expect to update Planning Procedures and Operating Procedures with IEEE 2800 specifications
  - This is being done in tandem with update of Modeling Procedures





### Outline – Joint EPRI-NAGF-NATF-NERC Webinar – May 3, 2022

- Welcome by host organizations 5 min.
  - Ryan Quint, NERC
  - Andrew Balascak, NATF

- Allen D. Schriver, NAGF
- Anish Gaikwad, EPRI
- Comments by utilities 5 min.
  - Stephen Solis, ERCOT
  - Brad Marszalkowski, ISO-NE
- Presentation by Manish Patel (WG Vice-Chair) 50 min.
  - IEEE P2800: purpose, scope, schedule
  - High-level review of selected draft requirements
  - Potential adoption of IEEE 2800 in North America
- Q&A 15 min.



#### General disclaimer

The views presented in this presentation are the personal views of the individuals presenting it and shall not be considered the official position of the IEEE Standards Association or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, nor be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE, in accordance with IEEE Standards Association Standards Board Bylaws 5.2.1.6.

#### Use of an IEEE standard is wholly voluntary

- IEEE SA can provide information and education if an agency or body (ie, FERC or DOE) requests information on a standard and can also provide a mechanism by which they can obtain copies of standards for review.
- IEEE SA does not lobby or solicit action by any agency or government entity.
- Agencies/Bodies can have independent discussions outside of IEEE SA
- If a body wants to pursue "requiring" IEEE P2800 via rulings or placing the standard on their respective approved lists for use, that is up to them
- If the individual WG Chair or WG members wish to have discussions with FERC, DOE, etc. about such a required use of the standard, they can have that conversation, but they must be clear they are having that conversation as independent individuals and/or on behalf of their respective organizations---and NOT IEEE/SA.





## **IRENA** Report: Key Messages

"Power system transformation towards decentralisation, digitalisation and electrification calls for **evolving grid codes**"

- [Inverter-based resources, IBR] impact the way power systems are operated
- The role of grid codes in building trust between different actors
- An imperfect grid code is, in many cases, better than no grid code at all
- Grid codes should be technology-neutral and should evolve to meet system needs
- Grid codes should enable innovations to connect safely to the grid
- Ensuring compliance with the code is key
- International standardization and regional grid codes facilitate sharing of flexibility and increased economy of scale for equipment manufacturers

#### What does that mean for IEEE 2800-2022?


- Cornerstone in a transforming power system
- Tailored to North American context

Figure ii Categories of grid codes in Europe, functionality and main actors

	MARKET CODES	OPERATION CODES	CONNECTION CODES
FUNCTIONALITY	Electricity Balancing     Capacity Allocation     Congestion Management	System Operation     Electricity Emergency and Restoration	Requirements for Generators     Loads Connection     HVDC Connection
MAIN ACTORS	Transmission System Operators     Market Operator	Transmission System     Operators     Energy Suppliers	Transmission System Operators Distribution System Operators Investors Project Developers Technology Providers Energy Suppliers Consumers

Note: HVDC = high voltage direct current

#### Figure iii Grid code parameter development and revision process



© IRENA (2022), *Grid codes for renewable powered systems*, International Renewable Energy Agency, Abu Dhabi. [Online]






### Pace of IBR Interconnections

All major U.S. interconnections are expected to reach peak **instantaneous IBR levels of 75-98%** within the lifetime of IBRs being connected today.

- These plants will need to not just remain online, but contribute to system recovery and reliability.
- IEEE 2800 addresses minimum technical requirements deemed needed from IBRs.



Data from 2021 DOE/NREL Solar Futures Study: https://www.nrel.gov/analysis/solar-futures.html

IBR: inverter-based resources like wind, solar, storage





## Insufficient Solar, Wind & Storage Interconnection Requirements

Diverse & different requirements across various jurisdictions

...requires more effort and time to address

 Inverter-based resources (IBR) are different from synchronous generators

...higher (and sometimes lower) capability

Requirements may not be balanced

...some too stringent & not taking advantage of new capability



Source: <a href="https://www.natf.net/">https://www.natf.net/</a>





## Recurring Reliability Issues with IBRs

- Unexpected tripping, cessation of active power, oscillations, etc.
- Mis-application of IEEE 1547 standard for Transmission connected resources
- Analysis found opportunity for standardization of IBR performance to maintain grid reliability





Source: NERC, 2017-2022



## Recurring Reliability Issues with IBRs

#### Causes of solar PV reduction identified by NERC

PLL Loss of Synchronism, Inverter AC Under- or Overvoltage, Inverter Under- or Overfrequency, Slow Active Power Recovery, Momentary Cessation, Inverter AC Overcurrent, Inverter DC Voltage [Ripple due to AC Voltage] Unbalance, Inverter UPS failure, etc.

#### **NERC Recommendations**

- Significant Updates and Improvements Needed to the FERC Large Generator Interconnection Agreements (LGIA)
- Improvements to NERC Reliability Standards Needed to Address Systemic Issues with Inverter-Based Resources





Source: NERC, 2017-2022



## Scope of IEEE 2800 Standard

This standard establishes the required interconnection capability and performance criteria for inverter-based resources interconnected with transmission and sub-transmission systems. , , Included in this standard are performance requirements for reliable integration of inverter-based resources into the bulk power system, including, but not limited to: voltage and frequency ride-through, active power control, reactive power control, dynamic active power support under abnormal frequency conditions, dynamic voltage support under abnormal voltage conditions, power quality, negative sequence current injection, and system protection.

Applicable to IBRs like wind, solar & energy storage, and any IBR connected via VSC-HVDC.

- "Type 3" wind turbines (doubly-fed induction generators) are in scope
- HVDC-VSC connected resources, e.g., onshore connection point of a VSC-HVDC tie-line interconnecting an offshore resource is also in scope.





## Summary of IEEE 2800 Standard

- The standard **harmonizes** Interconnection Requirements for Large Solar, Wind and Storage Plants
- It is a **consensus-based** standard developed by over ~175 Working Group participants from utilities, system operators, transmission planners, & OEMs over 2 years
- It has successfully passed the IEEE SA ballot among 466 SA balloters (>94% approval, >90% response rate)
- Published on April 22, 2022 (Earth Day)





IEEE Standard for Interconnection and Interoperability of Inverter-Based Resources (IBRs) Interconnecting with **Associated Transmission Electric Power Systems** 



IEEE Power and Energy Society

Energy Development & Power Generation Committee, Electric Machinery Committee, and Power System Relaying & Control Committee

IEEE Std 2800™-2022










More Info at https://sagroups.ieee.org/2800/

Available from IEEE at https://standards.ieee.org/project/2800.html and via IEEExplore: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9762253/

## Complementing North American Reliability Standards




Only when <u>adopted</u> by the appropriate authorities, IEEE standards become mandatory

Power & Energy Society



## IEEE Standards Classification and Consensus Building



Power & Energy Society®

## What to expect from IEEE 2800-2022?

#### Provides Value

- widely-accepted, unified technical minimum requirements for IBRs
- simplifies and speeds-up technical interconnection negotiations
- flexibility for IBR developers & OEMs → not an equipment design standard

#### Specifies

- performance and functional capabilities <u>and not</u> utilization & services
- functional default settings and ranges of available settings
- performance monitoring and model validation
- type of tests, plant-level evaluations, and other verifications means, but not detailed procedures (→
   IEEE P2800.2)

#### Scope

 Limited to all transmission and sub-transmission connected, large-scale wind, solar, energy storage and HVDC-VSC



## What <u>not</u> to expect from IEEE 2800?

- No exhaustive requirements for evolving IBR technology solutions
  - IEEE 2800 applies to all IBRs (including grid-forming ones), but was designed with conventional grid-following IBRs in mind
  - Considers synchronous condensers as "supplemental IBR devices" but allows for exceptions when used in IBR plants
- No definition of an interconnection process
  - This is up to transmission system owners and their stakeholders and regulators
  - IEEE 2800 may be used as part of such a process
- No procedures to verify that IBRs comply with requirements
  - Procedures are currently being developed in IEEE P2800.2:

P2800.

Recommended Practice for Test and Verification Procedures for Inverterbased Resources (IBRs) Interconnecting with Bulk Power Systems

Active PAR

IEEE SA: https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/2800.2/10616/ P2800.2 WG: https://sagroups.ieee.org/2800-2/





## Capability versus Utilization

#### Capability:

#### "Ability to Perform"

- Functions
- Ranges of available settings
- Minimum performance specifications



#### **Examples**

- Frequency Response
  - Frequency Droop Response
  - Ramp rate limitations



- Ride-Through
  - Voltage ride-through
  - Current injection during ride-through
  - Consecutive voltage ride-through
  - Frequency ride-through
  - ROCOF ride-through
  - Phase angle jump ride-through

#### **Utilization of Capability:**

#### "Delivery of Performance"

- Enable/disable functions
- Functional settings / configured parameters
- Operate accordingly (e.g., maintain headroom, if applicable)

#### **Examples**

- Deadband
- Droop
- Response Time
- Headroom



30

80


Source: EPRI, 2021





Power & Energy Society®


## Reference Point of Applicability – AC Interconnection







## Reference Point of Applicability – DC Interconnection



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes IBR units like type IV wind turbine generators






<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> May serve as a supplemental IBR device that is necessary for the IBR plant with VSC-HVDC to meet the requirements of this standard at the RPA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Depending on design, the POC may be on the TS side of the main IBR transformer.

### IEEE 2800-2022 Technical Minimum Capability Requirements



Utilization of these capabilities is outside the purview of 2800

Power & Energy Society®




## MINIMUM REACTIVE POWER CAPABILITY REQUIREMENTS





## Min. Reactive Power Capability vs Active Power Injection








## Min. Reactive Power Capability vs Active Power Absorption

**Default RPA: Point of Measurement** 




ICAR – IBR continuous absorption rating Q<sub>min</sub> – minimum reactive power capability





© IEEE 2022

## Min. Reactive Power Capability at RPA vs Voltage



TS Nominal	V1	V2	V3	V4	V5
Voltage	(p.u.)	(p.u.)	(p.u.)	(p.u.)	(p.u.)
at RPA					
< 200 kV	0.90	0.99	1.03	1.05	1.10
>= 200 kV	0.90	1.00	1.04	1.05	1.10
except 500 kV and					
735 kV as below					
500 kV	0.90	1.02	1.06	1.10	1.10
735 kV	0.90	1.02	1.06	1.088	1.10



**IEEE** 

## Voltage and Reactive Power Control Modes

The *IBR plant* shall provide the following mutually exclusive modes of reactive power control functions:

- RPA voltage control mode
- Power factor control mode
- Reactive power set point control mode

#### **RPA voltage control**

Closed-loop automatic control to regulate the voltage at the RPA

Capable of reactive power droop to ensure a stable and coordinated response

Any switched shunts or LTC transformer tap change operation needed to restore the dynamic reactive power capability shall respond within 60 s.

Parameter	Performanc e target	Notes
Reaction	< 200 ms	
time		
Max. step	As required	Typical step response
response	by the <i>TS</i>	time ranges between 1 s
time	operator	and 30 s.
Damping	Damping	Damping ratio, indicative
	ratio of 0.3	of control stability,
	or higher	depends on grid
		strength.

IEEE-2800-2022 - Adapted and reprinted with permission from IEEE. Copyright IEEE 2022. All rights reserved







## Plant level voltage control rise time = 1.0 sec vs 30.0 seconds

#### Red trace is the plant controller voltage setpoint (pu)

Blue trace is the measured 34.5 kV voltage (pu)





1 second rise time


30 second rise time

Source: EPRI, 2022





## Plant level voltage control response damping > 0.3







Source: EPRI, 2022

# FREQUENCY DISTURBANCE RIDE-THROUGH CAPABILITY AND PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS






## Frequency Disturbance Ride-Through Capability Requirements

The IBR plant shall be capable to ride-through and:

- maintain synchronism with the TS.
- meet active power requirements of PFR and/or FFR as applicable or maintain predisturbance active power output
- maintain its reactive power output.
   Adjustment allowed to stay in V/Hz limit

#### **Exception**

 Within V/Hz capability of IBR units, transformers & supplemental IBR devices.




Frequency range (Hz)	Percent from fnom	Minimum time (s) (design criteria)	Operation
$f_1, f_4$	+3, -5	299.0 (t <sub>1</sub> )	Mandatory operation
$f_2, f_3$	+2, -2	∞	Continuous operation





# Primary Frequency Response (PFR) Capability





IEEE-2800-2022 - Adapted and reprinted with permission from IEEE. Copyright IEEE 2022. All rights reserved



## Primary Frequency Response (PFR) Dynamic Performance

Donomoton	Unito	Default value	Ranges of available settings		
Parameter	Units	Default value	Minimum	Maximum	
Reaction time	Seconds	0.50	0.20 (0.5 for WTG)	1	
Rise time	Seconds	4.0	2.0 (4.0 for WTG)	20	
Settling time	Seconds	10.0	10	30	
Damping ratio	Unitless	0.3	0.2	1.0	
Settling band	% of change	Max (2.5% of change or 0.5% of ICR)	1	5	

Stable and damped response shall take precedence over *rise time* and *settling time*.





## Fast Frequency Response (FFR) Capability Requirements


Inertial Response is also known as FFR in North America


#### **Definition of FFR**

active power injected to the grid in response to changes in measured or observed frequency during the arresting period of a frequency excursion event to improve the frequency nadir or initial rate-of-change of frequency

#### **Requirements for FFR from IBR**

- Capability required for <u>under-frequency</u> conditions
- Utilization of FFR capability of IBR plant shall not be enabled by default
- FFR capability may be deployed for the purposes of ancillary service offering





Source: LBNL 2020



IEEE-2800-2022 - Adapted and reprinted with permission from IEEE. Copyright IEEE 2022. All rights reserved



## FFR Performance Requirements (General)

- FFR capability shall be an autonomous function
- The FFR response time capability, shall be adjustable to no greater than 1 second, including the reaction time for triggering FFR
- The response shall be stable and any oscillations shall be positively damped with a damping ratio of 0.3 or better
- Stable and damped response shall take precedence over response time

- IBR plant shall be capable of sustaining FFR for as long as the IBR plant energy resource is available or until supplanted by primary, secondary or tertiary frequency response, whichever is less
- Active power response during FFR actuation may temporarily exceed the IBR continuous rating (ICR) but shall not exceed the IBR short-term rating (ISR)
- FFR and PFR may actuate independently from each other or may complement each other

FFR is an evolving functional and performance capability





## FFR Performance Requirements

#### FFR1: FFR proportional to frequency deviation

$$p_{\text{FFR1}} = \min \left\{ p_{\text{avl}}, p_{\text{pre}} + \max \left( 0, \frac{f_{\text{UF,FFR1}} - f}{f_{\text{nom}} \times k_{\text{UF,FFR1}}} \right) \right\}$$

	T I wa 24 ca	Default	Ranges of available settings		
Units		value	Minimum	Maximum	
$f_{ m UF,FFR1}$	Hz	99.94% of $f_{\text{nom}}$	99.17% of $f_{\text{nom}}$	99.94% of $f_{\text{nom}}$	
$k_{ m UF,FFR1}$	%	1%	1%	5%	

#### Other variants of FFR (Informative Annex K)

- FFR2: FFR proportional to df/dt
- FFR3: Fixed magnitude FFR with frequency trigger
- FFR4: Fixed magnitude FFR with df/dt trigger

#### Dynamic performance

- applicable parameters such as reaction and response time
- tuning of these parameters to be carefully studied on a case-by-case basis to avoid instable IBR plant operation

In many cases, FFR is just "a faster PFR"





## FFR Performance Requirements – WTG-Based IBR Plant

- adjustable frequency threshold dead band from -0.1 Hz to -1.0 Hz
- temporary increase of active power output, provided wind resource is available:
  - equal to at least 5% of rated power of each WTG in service when operating at or above 25% of rated power
  - for the duration from 5 s to 10 s
- limit rise time to reach maximum temporary increase of active power output to 1.5 s or less.
- limit **decrease in active power output** during energy recovery to a **maximum of 20%** of pre-disturbance active power output.
  - energy recovery extend as long as possible to minimize the magnitude of the initial decrease of active power
- capability to operate repeatedly in FFR mode with a 2 minutes delay after the end of the recovery period
- FFR shall take precedence over PFR and PFR shall be activated at the end of energy recovery period
   following FFR support

**.**♦IEEE


IEEE-2800-2022 - Adapted and reprinted with permission from IEEE. Copyright IEEE 2022. All rights reserved

# VOLTAGE DISTURBANCE RIDE-THROUGH CAPABILITY AND PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS





# Voltage Ride-Through Capability – Plants with Aux. Load limitations, i.e., Wind Plant




IEEE-2800-2022 - Adapted and reprinted with permission from IEEE. Copyright IEEE 2022. All rights reserved

Power & Energy Society®



# Voltage Ride-Through Capability – Plants without Aux. Load limitations, i.e., Solar Plant



IEEE-2800-2022 - Adapted and reprinted with permission from IEEE. Copyright IEEE 2022. All rights reserved

Power & Energy Society®



## Clarification of Voltage Ride-Through Capability Req.

#### Three possible understanding:

 Voltage versus Time curve: For a given voltage, IBR plant shall not trip until the duration at this voltage exceeds ride-through curve capability.

√ Correct understanding

- Voltage Deviation <u>times</u> Time <u>Area</u>: Area between a nominal voltage (100%) and either a low or high voltage ride-through boundary.
- Voltage versus Time Envelope: Ride-through curves define an envelope to lay as a template over a voltage versus time trajectory.





#### Exceptions from Voltage Ride-Through Capability Reqs

#### **Continuous Operation Region**

The IBR plant may trip if **V2** of the applicable voltages in % of nominal voltage is

- > 3% for greater than 10 s, OR
- > 2% for greater than 300 s OR
- > 6.7% for a duration specified by the TS owner,

provided that the voltage unbalance is **neither caused nor aggravated** by the *IBR plant*.

#### **Mandatory Operation Region**

For a voltage disturbance that reduces the *applicable voltage* at the RPA to < 50% of nominal, the *IBR plant* shall be considered compliant with this standard if the post-disturbance apparent current of the *IBR plant* is not less than 90% of the pre-disturbance apparent current.

When **Tripping of the** *IBR plant* is required to **clear faults** either internal to the *IBR plant*, on the *interconnection system* (*IBR tie line*) or any portion of the TS which may provide sole connectivity between the *IBR plant* and the TS





#### Voltage Ride-Through Performance

No specification of current magnitude

The 1-cycle time required for DFT (to derive phasor quantities) is included in specified response/settling time.

·	Type III WTGs	All other IBR Units			
Step Response Time	NA <sup>1</sup>	≤ 2.5 cycles			
Settling Time	≤ 6 cycles	≤ 4 cycles			
Settling Band	/ Max of (±10% of required / change or ±2.5% of IBR unit maximum current)	Max of ( $\pm 10\%$ of required change or $\pm 2.5\%$ of IBR unit maximum current)			

**Note 1:** Initial response is driven by machine characteristics, & not the control system.

Slower response/settling time is permitted with mutual agreement between TS owner and IBR owner.





# Voltage Ride-Through Performance Requirements


During a ride-through mode including fault conditions -

- Type & Magnitude of current injection shall be dependent on voltage
   at inverter (IBR unit) terminals.

  RPA: Point of Connection
- System Disturbance/Balanced Faults:
  - Capability to operate in active or reactive current priority mode
  - In reactive current priority mode: increased injection of reactive current
- Unbalanced faults:
  - Requirements for injection of **negative sequence reactive current**.
- Injection of current from IBR units shall be at the same frequency as of the terminal voltage with following exceptions:
  - Close-in faults (PLL fails to track system frequency, type III WTG where control of rotor current is lost
  - Transients, transformer inrushes etc....

































# Inverter response to L-L fault at the POM Negative sequence current injection enabled







- i1p - i1r

i2r

5.25


## Transient overvoltage ride-through requirements

#### **Default RPA: Point of Measurement**

Voltage <sup>c</sup> (p.u.) at the RPA	Minimum ride-through time (ms) <sup>d</sup> (design criteria) <sup>b</sup>				
V > 1.80	See footnote <sup>a</sup>				
V > 1.70	0.2				
V > 1.60	1.0				
V > 1.40	3.0				
V > 1.20	15.0				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Appropriate surge protection shall be applied at the RPA as well as within the *IBR plant*, including *IBR unit* terminals (POC), as necessary.

<sup>d</sup> Cumulative time over a 1-min time window.



The *IBR unit*'s TOV ride-through capability may differ from the *IBR plant*'s TOV ride-through requirement specified in this subclause. The *IBR plant* design should coordinate an *IBR unit*'s TOV ride-through capability with surge protection implemented within the *IBR plant* to allow the *IBR plant* to meet specified TOV ride-through requirements.

IEEE-2800-2022 - Adapted and reprinted with permission from IEEE. Copyright IEEE 2022. All rights reserved





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The minimum ride-through times specified in Table 14 apply to both 50 Hz and 60 Hz systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Specified voltage magnitudes are the residual voltages with surge arresters applied.

# OTHER REQUIREMENTS





## Other Capability/Performance Requirements

Consecutive voltage dip ride-through

Capability to ride-through specified combination of successive voltage dips

Phase angle jump ride-through

shall ride through positive-sequence phase angle changes in sub-cycle-to-cycle time frame ≤ 25 electrical degrees

Rate of change of frequency ride-through

Capability to ride through an absolute ROCOF magnitude that is less than or equal to 5.0 Hz/s

Restore active power output after voltage disturbance

Capability to restore active power output to 100% of pre-disturbance level at an average rate equal to 100% of ICR divided by specified active power recovery time. The default active power recovery time shall be 1.0 s.





## Power Quality Requirements

Voltage fluctuations induced by IBR Plant
Limits for frequent/infrequent rapid voltage changes & Flicker are specified

#### Harmonic distortion

Limits for current harmonic limits are specified

Limits for voltage harmonic limits are <u>not</u> specified. TS owner <u>should</u> specify voltage harmonic limits

#### Overvoltage contribution by IBR Plant

Limits for instantaneous as well as over fundamental frequency period overvoltage are specified





## **Protection Requirements**

- Standard does <u>not require</u> specific type of protection to be applied within IBR Plant.
- If protection is applied (including on auxiliary load), shall allow IBR plant to meets its ridethrough requirements
- Some requirements for frequency, ROCOF, overvoltage, overcurrent protection.
- Unintentional Islanding protection if not permitted by TS owner, protection shall be implemented in accordance with requirements of TS owner
- Interconnection System protection
  shall be in accordance with requirements of TS owner





## **Modeling Data**

- Some specified requirements **cannot** be verified based on tests (type, commissioning etc.)
- Verification of such requirements is done using models and simulations
- IBR owner is **required** to provide **verified models** to TS owner/operator such as, power flow, stability dynamic model, short-circuit, EMT, harmonics etc.
- Development of verified models is outside the scope of this standard; however, some guidance is provided.
- Annex G provides recommended practice for modeling data i.e., details in each type of model





#### Measurements for Performance Monitoring/Model Validation


IBR plant is required to take measurements at specified points throughout the resource, from individual IBR units to the POM, using various technologies

Data Type	Data Points	Recording Rate	Retention	Duration	
Plant SCADA Data	Voltage, frequency, P, Q, etc.	One record per second	One year	One year	
Plant Equipment Status Log	Breakers, shunt devices, LTCs, collector system, IBR units, etc.	Static, as changed	One year	NA	
Sequence of Event Recordings	Date/Time stamp, type of event, sequence number etc.	Static, as changed	One year	NA	
Digital Fault Recordings	Each L-G voltage, phase & neutral currents, etc.	>128 samples/cycle, triggered	90 days	5 second data	
Dynamic Disturbance Recordings	Voltage, current, frequency, calculated P and Q	Input: ≥ 960 samples/second Output: ≥ 60 times/second; continuous	One year	NA	
IBR Unit Data	Fault & alarm codes, PLL loss of synchronism, dc/ac voltage and current etc.	Many kHz, triggered	90 days	5 second data	





#### Test and Verification Framework



Power & Energy Society®

## Thoughts on Adoption of IEEE 2800

- **Gap Analysis** comparing existing IC requirements with IEEE 2800 requirements
- Adoption of IEEE 2800 is not contingent upon publication/adoption of IEEE P2800.2 (recommended practice for test & verification procedures)
- Needs consideration of **enforcement date, grandfathering/flexibility** for IBR Plants being built at the time of adoption
- Possible Adoption methods
  - > **Full adoption** by simple reference
  - > Full or partial adoption, clause-by-clause reference, additional requirements





#### **IEEE P2800.2 Motivation**

IEEE 2800 contains performance requirements for IBRs, and a <u>table of methods to verify each</u> requirement

Details of verification methods not included


**P2800.2** will develop details through "individual standard" process (like 2800, 1547, 1547.1, etc)

			. The state of the				V	1,110,000		
	Requirement		IBR unit-level tests (at the POC)  IBR plant-level verifications (at the RPA)							
,		RPA at which requiremen t applies	Type tests <sup>157</sup>	Design evaluation (including modeling)	As-built installation evaluation	Commissioning tests	Post- commissioning model validation	Post- commissioni ng monitoring	Periodic tests	Periodic Verification
<b>^</b>			Responsible Entity							
			IBR Manufacturer	Developer /TS owner/TS operator	Developer /TS owner/TS operator	Developer /TS owner/TS operator	Developer / IBR Operator /TS owner/TS operator	IBR Operator /TS owner/TS operator	IBR operator /TS owner/TS operator	IBR operator /TS owner/TS operator
	6.1 Primary Frequency Response (PFR)	POC & POM	NR <sup>158</sup>	R	R	R	R	D	D	D
	6.2 Fast Frequency Response (FFR)	POC & POM	R <sup>159</sup>	R	R	R	R	D	D	D
	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		C	lause 7 Response	to TS abnormal	conditions				
	7.2.2 Voltage disturbance ride- through requirements	POC <sup>160</sup> & POM <sup>161</sup>	R	R	R	NR	R	R	D	D
	7.2.3 Transient overvoltage ride- through requirements	POM	R	R	R	NR	R	R	D	D
	7.3.2 Frequency disturbance ride-through requirements	POM	R	R	R	NR	R	R	D	D
	7.4 Return to service after IBR plant trip	POM			refer to	line entries for 4.10	(Enter service)			





#### Anticipated Timeline, and What Comes Next?



<sup>\*</sup>Project authorization request (PAR) approved by NesCom on May 21, 2021 (<a href="https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject-web/app#viewpar/12623/9133">https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject-web/app#viewpar/12623/9133</a>); contact <a href="mailto:andv.hoke@nrel.gov">and sign up for P2800.2 Working Group and Task/Project on IEEE SA myProject at <a href="https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject-web/app#interests">https://development.standards.ieee.org/myproject-web/app#interests</a>







## **Summary & Conclusion**

- IEEE Std 2800™ harmonizes minimum Interconnection Requirements for Large Solar, Wind and Storage Plants
  - Expected to mitigate most reliability issues identified by NERC
- As a voluntary IEEE standard, it **requires adoption** by the appropriate authorities to become mandatory
  - Adoption is not contingent on IEEE P2800.2
- Drafting of conformance procedures has commenced under IEEE P2800.2
  - Get involved:

Recommended Practice for Test and Verification Procedures for Inverterbased Resources (IBRs) Interconnecting with Bulk Power Systems

IEEE SA: https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/2800.2/10616/

P2800.2 WG: https://sagroups.ieee.org/2800-2/





IEEE Standard for Interconnection and Interoperability of Inverter-Based Resources (IBRs) Interconnecting with **Associated Transmission Electric Power Systems** 



IEEE Power and Energy Society

Energy Development & Power Generation Committee, Electric Machinery Committee, and Power System Relaying & Control Committee

IEEE Std 2800™-2022



**∲IEEE** 





#### **Contacts**

#### **P2800 WG**

- Jens C Boemer,
   j.c.boemer@ieee.org
- Manish Patel, mpatel@southernco.com

https://sagroups.ieee.org/2800/

#### P2800.2 WG

- Andy Hoke, Andy.Hoke@nrel.gov
- Manish Patel, mpatel@southernco.com

https://sagroups.ieee.org/2800-2/

#### **IEEE 2800-2022**

Available from IEEE at <a href="https://standards.ieee.org/project/2800.html">https://standards.ieee.org/project/2800.html</a> and via IEEExplore: <a href="https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9762253/">https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9762253/</a>





#### Outline – Joint EPRI-NAGF-NATF-NERC Webinar – May 3, 2022

- Welcome by host organizations 5 min.
  - Ryan Quint, NERC
  - Andrew Balascak, NATF

- Allen D. Schriver, NAGF
- Anish Gaikwad, EPRI
- Comments by utilities 5 min.
  - Stephen Solis, ERCOT
  - Brad Marszalkowski, ISO-NE
- Presentation by Manish Patel (WG Vice-Chair) 50 min.
  - IEEE P2800: purpose, scope, schedule
  - High-level review of selected draft requirements
  - Potential adoption of IEEE 2800 in North America
- Q&A 15 min.

