

**IEEE P7012 Working Group**  
**Draft Meeting Agenda**  
**21 August 2018, 11:00 AM – 1:00 PM**  
*Recording Acting Secretary: Christy Bahn*

**1. Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order at 11:15 by David Reed, Working Group Chair.

**2. Roll call of Individuals (Working Group Establishment)**

**a. Introduction and Affiliation Declarations**

Individuals on the call introduced themselves and declared their affiliation.

David R. noted that voting membership is granted to those participants attending the first meeting of a newly charted Working Group upon their request to the Working Group Chair. David R. asked if anyone at this time does not wish to be a voting member should send him an email.

***Note: Per the Working Group Policies and Procedures***

***4.0 Working Group Membership***

***4.1 Obtaining Membership in a Working Group***

***A non-voting member becomes a voting member by attending 2 of the last 4 meetings and upon sending a request for voting status to the Working Group Chair prior to the next meeting.***

***4.3 Retaining Membership and Voting Membership***

***4.3.1 By Attendance***

***A voting member who has not attended at least 2 of the last 4 meetings becomes a non-voting member.***

**3. Approval of Agenda**

***Motion to approve the meeting agenda.*** (Mover: John W., Second: Colin W.) The agenda was approved as submitted without objection.

**4. IEEE Patent Policy**

**a. Call for Patents**

The call for patents was raised; no one raised any concerns or any comments for consideration.

**5. Establishment of Officers**

**a. Election of Officers (Vice-Chair, Secretary)**

The Working Group Chair was appointed by the SSIT/SC Sponsor Chair. For the other open officer roles there shall be an election.

Per the Working Group P&Ps:

### **3.1 Election or Appointment of Officers**

The Working Group Chair shall appoint an Elections Administrator whose function is to gather nominations for other officers, and to conduct an election. The Elections Administrator shall not be a nominee in the election. The election for officers shall be conducted *annually*.

Working Group voting members shall nominate to the Elections Administrator one or more voting members for the positions of Vice-Chair and Secretary.

Upon written notification, the nominee shall, within 14 calendar days, indicate acceptance or rejection of the nomination. If no nomination is received or accepted for an office, the Working Group Chair may appoint a voting member for the office.

The Elections Administrator shall prepare and conduct the election by letter or electronic ballot. Voting will conclude in a time determined by the Working Group, but in no less than 14 calendar days. Each voting member shall cast a single election ballot. Within the ballot, the voting member may vote for multiple nominees for each office with the limit of one vote per nominee. The nominee with the greatest number of approval votes shall win the election, provided ballots are returned by a majority of the eligible voters for that election.

The term of office for each officer shall be *one year*, but an officer may serve until a successor is selected.

Doc volunteered to serve as the Elections Administrator.

- 6. Organizational context: IEEE and Society for Social Impact of Technology (SSIT) work in standards** - David Reed, Working Group Chair & John Wunderlich  
David R. spoke about IEEE and the focus of IEEE. He noted that IEEE P7012 is sponsored by SSIT/SC.

- 7. How did this project happen?** - Doc Searls

David R. noted there was a lot of activity around this subject lead to this project.

Work began after John C. Havens approached Doc to discuss a convergence of interests between the IEEE considering possible standards supporting individual agency (through the SSIT) and work Doc, Joyce and others (e.g. Mary Hodder and Iain Henderson, now also of the 7012 WG) were already doing with ProjectVRM at Harvard's Berkman Klein Center, and its nonprofit spin-off, Customer Commons — especially since the latter had already been developing terms individuals could proffer: terms that could use a standard for machine readability.

**8. First goal: Creating a Plan Outline** - David Reed, Working Group Chair  
This agenda item was discussed in agenda item 10.

**9. Prior and related work presentations (tentative)**

**a. JLINC**, John Wunderlich

John provided an overview. JLINC is a protocol and software to enable two parties on the web to enter into and enforce a standard information sharing agreement (SISA).

**b. Customer Door Codes** - Zbynek Loebl, ODR expert and internet lawyer at PRK Partners, Czech Republic

Zbynek presented his slides. Customer Door Codes (CDC) is a proposed open concept of a simple exchange of information related to online dispute resolution (ODR) and privacy between customer's system and provider's system. More details about CDC are available at [www.alternativeplatforms.com](http://www.alternativeplatforms.com).

**c. Standards for Human Agency and Decentralized Information Governance** - Adrian Gropper, MD, CTO, Patient Privacy Rights Foundation

Adrian noted our HIE of One (for health information exchange of one) Trustee project is an implementation of current standards and standards-track **technologies for human agency and decentralized information governance**. To be self-sovereign and practical, the Trustee agent must not only be entirely under control of the individual, but the agent must also be acceptable to the institutions that sell goods and services to the individual. In this paper, we describe the design of a self-sovereign health record for the homeless and our interaction with a major academic medical center that will need to work with it. The paper is at <https://github.com/WebOfTrustInfo/rwot7/blob/master/topics-and-advance-readings/standards-for-governance.md>

**d. User Managed Access (UMA)** - Eve Maier, Kantara UMA Work Group Chair

Eve presented. She noted User Managed Access is a set of specification from Kantara Initiative. Eve shared some use cases.

**e. Global Consent Manager**, Sean Goggins

A browser extension (initially developed for Firefox) which stores privacy preferences of the user in a format which is machine readable collaborating websites. The preferences adapt to reflect the list of sites trusted by user, and they are passed in real time when an interaction with a content or third-party site is approached. Sean noted that GDPR

is causing some challenges.

- f. **First party terms individuals can proffer in dealing with sites and services** - Doc Searls, Customer Commons, co-founder and board member

This agenda item was not discussed.

- g. **On an architecture-centric, ontology-based approach to policies**

- Bernd Blobel Former Head of the German National eHealth Competence Center  
Past-Chair/-Co-Chair of the Security Work Groups of the International Medical Informatics Association (IMIA), of the European Federation for Medical Informatics (EFMI), of HL7, but also of the German Federal Work Group of Data Protection Officers in Health and Social Care

There was not enough time to discuss this at the meeting. David R. suggested this be reviewed at the next meeting.

## 10. Create Subgroups: Volunteers, Convener, and Initial Deliverable

- a. Plan Outline Subgroup
- b. Use Cases Document (?) — suggested by Adrian
- c. Others?

David R. reviewed a plan with the WG. Over the next month or two, construct an outline plan.

- Where we think we are going.
- What our results will be.
- What is the scope and the timeline.
- How to organize our work at a meta level.

Adrian suggested a subgroup to work on use cases. David said we have a lot use cases set up & being produced for us. He asked that they be brought to the WG and share them.

David A. said there is a tremendous amount of work that is going on in overlapping areas. This group may be duplicating. He suggested a subgroup to do a mapping exercise. This WG work is very specific. He would lead this group. Not reinvent the wheel. See what is out there already. Relationship mapping subgroup.

## 11. Action Items

- David Alexander to set up and lead a working group to do a mapping exercise of existing initiatives and build a comparison model to see how they related to the scope of the WG.
- WG members to share existing initiatives

**12. Future Meetings: Frequency, Timing, Date** - David Reed  
David R. will send out a doodle poll to find out what times work best.

**13. Adjourn**  
The meeting adjourned at 1:08 PM.

**Attendees:**

First Name	Last Name	Affiliation
David	Alexander	Mydex CIC
Henrik	Biering	Peercraft
Bernd	Blobel	University of Regensburg, Medical Faculty
Sean	Goggins	Self
Adrian	Gropper	Patient Privacy Rights
Gurshabad	Grover	Centre for Internet and Society, India
James	Hazard	<a href="http://CommonAccord.org">CommonAccord.org</a>
Iain	Henderson	Customer Commons & JLINC Labs
Mary	Hodder	Customer Commons & JLINC Labs
Dean	Landsman	PDEC
Lisa	LeVasseur	Wrethink
Mark	Lizar	Open Consent Group Ltd
Zbynek	Loebl	Zbynek Loebl, independent ODR expert + internet and ICT lawyer, PRK Partners, Czechia
Eve	Maler	ForgeRock
Sunil	Malhotra	Ideafarms
Mike	O'Neill	Baycloud Systems Ltd.
Jim	Pasquale	digi.me Ltd
David	Reed	Deep Plum Research
Tim	Reiniger	UMA
Doc	Searls	Customer Commons
Joyce	Searls	Customer Commons & Sovrin Foundation
Colin	Wallis	Kantara Initiative
John	Wunderlich	JLINC Labs
Dennis	Yurkevich	OrbisLabs

Christy	Bahn	IEEE-SA (staff)
---------	------	-----------------