

IEEE Signal Processing Society Synthetic Aperture Standards Committee (SASC)  
P3382 Working Group on Performance Metrics for MRI Image Reconstruction  
Meeting Minutes for Thursday, September 7, 10:00a – 11:00a EDT via teleconference  
<https://ieeesa.webex.com/ieeesa/j.php?MTID=m45285c4cd444cef463940a44632cb015>

1. Call to Order – *the meeting was called to order at 10 am*
2. Introduction and Affiliation Declarations
  - a. Please provide name, email, affiliation on Google form
  - b. Please attest on Google form to having read the [IEEE policies](#) on copyrights, behavior, and patents
  - c. Establish Quorum – *quorum was not established so the meeting proceeded without taking any actions*
3. Approval of Agenda – *no action was taken to approve the agenda*
4. Approval of Previous Meeting Minutes – *no action was taken to approve the minutes from July 26*
5. IEEE Patent, Behavior, and Copyright Policies – *the policies were reviewed*
  - a. Review here if necessary -- [IEEE policies](#)
  - b. Call for patents – *a call was made and no patent claims were presented*
6. Discussion Topics:
  - a. Regularizers for MRI Images; e.g., total variation, learned convex regularizers – *the group discussed the following:*
    - *How do we address the impact of different regularizers on image quality?*
    - *Noise in the acquisition process – normalize for input noise, variability in image captures*
    - *Regularizers create bias towards a desired solution, is deep learning introducing new pathology?*
    - *Single metric may not capture all facets of image quality. What aspects are important for clinical images?*
    - *Image capture/metric must not be inferior to current state of the art and applicable to the clinical task*
    - *Keep metrics generic, applicable to both portable and conventional MRI*
    - *Task-based image quality metrics, segmentation, vs lesion detection*
    - *Each MRI system must have its own quality threshold*
    - *Portable MRI may have reduced functionality, compare quality based on task, e.g. to ultrasound*
    - *How to assess image quality in general, e.g. volume data*
    - *PSNR, SSIM, perceptual measures such as FID*
    - *Need both task-based, general metrics, earth-movers metrics*
    - *There are 2 categories of metrics, objective and subjective, include Dr's opinion*
7. New Business – *There was no new business*

8. Future Meetings – *The next meeting will be Thursday, October 5 at 10 am EDT*
  - *Mariya volunteered to give a presentation on her MRI research and her ideas for standardizing image quality metrics*
9. Adjourn – *the meeting adjourned at 10:56 am*